



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/762,941	01/22/2004	Trevor J. Darrell	MIT-154PUS	5964
22494 7590 06/18/2009 DALY, CROWLEY, MOFFORD & DURKEE, LLP SUITE 301A 354A TURNPIKE STREET CANTON, MA 02021-2714			EXAMINER MISLEH, JUSTIN P	
			ART UNIT 2622	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 06/18/2009	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@dc-m.com  
amk@dc-m.com

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/762,941	<b>Applicant(s)</b> DARRELL ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> JUSTIN P. MISLEH	<b>Art Unit</b> 2622	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 April 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 9 - 28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 9 - 28 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                     | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Response to Arguments***

1. Applicant's arguments filed April 10, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
2. With respect to Claims 9, 25, and 26, Applicant argues, "In applicant's invention, the server 24 has collected a plurality of images and the associated hyperlink of the computer location of where server 24 found the image and associated text and when a matching image is found the image and the URL of the original location of the image is provided to the user ... Nowhere in Noda does it suggest a database of images and associated URLs of computers with web pages having in their content the image of interest."
3. In response, the Examiner respectfully notes Applicant is arguing in favor of features which are not claimed as specifically as the arguments suggest. Applicant is reminded, although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).
4. The language of Claim 9, for example, recites a system comprised of a disjunctive series of independently functioning components. The claim language remotely relates the functionality of each of the components to one another. Thus, simply alleging "Noda does it suggest a database of images and associated URLs of computers with web pages having in their content the image of interest" is insufficient to overcome the Examiner's rejection.
5. Nevertheless, Noda indeed describes where at least one of the computer files having an associated hyperlink and including an image similar to the captured image. Noda returns an

Art Unit: 2622

email to the PDA with a hyperlink to the similar images (see page 26, paragraph 3, lines 8 - 13; also see Figure 5).

6. For these reasons, the Examiner will maintain the prior art rejection.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. **Claims 9 – 22 and 24 – 28** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noda et al. in view of Aarnio (US 6,522,889).

**NOTES:** The Examiner notes **Claims 9, 25, and 26** appear to be related as apparatus, storage medium, and method respectively. Accordingly, these claims will grouped together under one rejection using the language of **Claim 9**. Furthermore, the Examiner's above-response is fully incorporated in the rejections below. Finally, the Examiner notes that the storage medium claimed in Claim 25 is not described in the specification. Claim 25 has been directed towards a storage medium since its original filing. To be clear as to whether the "storage medium" is directed towards statutory subject matter, a paragraph should be added to specification including the types of statutory storage mediums that can be included. The paragraph should be consistent with the remainder of the specification and should not include any new matter.

9. For **Claims 9, 25, and 26**, Noda et al. explicitly teach:

Art Unit: 2622

A system to provide location awareness services comprising:

(a) a handheld device (digital camera, PDA, PHS, Figure 1) comprising:

(i) a camera to capture an image of an location (digital camera, Figure 1);

(ii) a mobile communication device (PHS, Figure 1), coupled to the camera and to a wireless network (WWW sever, Figure 1), to communicate the image to a server with existing image files to find similar images (page 25, abstract, lines 8-12) by locating and comparing the captured image with other existing image files; and

(iii) a user interface (PDA, Figure 1), coupled to the mobile communication device, to communicate to an user any resulting relevant images and associated hyperlinks of found similar images (page 28, 3.5 and Figure 5); and

(b) a computer network including the wireless network (WWW server, Figure 1) and a wired network (flower database, Figure 2);

(c) a server (see Figure 1), connected to the computer network, to store images of interest; and

(c) a plurality of computers (WWW server and flower database, Figure 1), each computer have a plurality of computer files and connected to the computer network (process information files and flower information files respectively), at least one of the computer files having an associated hyperlink and including an image similar to the captured image and when viewed includes associated text describing an object in the image (page 26, paragraph 3, lines 8-13, see also Figure 5).

The Examiner respectfully notes, as described by item 3 (Image Retrieval System of Flowers), Noda uses characteristics entered by a user to generate a binary image of the captured

Art Unit: 2622

image (see 3.1), then calculates the characteristics of shape using a circularity measure (as defined by equation 1; see 3.2), then calculates the characteristics of color using a center of gravity calculation (see 3.3). Noda combines these characteristics into a table (see Table 1) and compares them to characteristics of other images in the database to find a match(s) (see 3.4). Once a match(s) is found, the image(s) is displayed on a webpage and the matched image(s) and URL of the webpage is sent to the user (see 3.5 and 2).

However, Noda et al. do not explicitly teach to search for additional images of interest located on other computers connected to the network when an image of interest is not located on the server.

In the same field of endeavor, Aarnio teaches a method and apparatus for providing precise location information through a communications network (Figures 1 and 3). Aarnio further teaches that the database to be accessed is a computer network 18, which may be the Internet, or World Wide Web (column 2, lines 57-60), which includes several databases that can then be checked.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the computer network found in Aarnio as the database in Noda et al. in order to pinpoint an exact location of the image by using the vast sources of the internet (column 1, lines 35-39).

10. As for **Claim 10**, Noda et al explicitly teach:

The system to provide location awareness services as recited in Claim 9 wherein the user interface comprises a display (PDA, browser Figure 1, see also Figure 5).

11. As for **Claim 11**, Noda et al explicitly teach:

Art Unit: 2622

The system to provide location awareness services as recited in Claim 9 wherein the user interface comprises a computer connection (PDA connects to computers wirelessly through PHS, Figure 1).

12. As for **Claim 12**, Noda et al. explicitly teach:

The system to provide location awareness services as recited in Claim 9 wherein the associated text describes features of the object in the image including geographical location of the object (page 28, 3.5).

13. As for **Claim 13**, Noda et al. explicitly teach:

The system to provide location awareness services as recited in Claim 9 wherein the associated text describes features of the object in the image including a description and historical facts regarding the object (page 28, 3.5).

14. As for **Claim 14**, Noda et al. explicitly teach:

The system to provide location awareness services as recited in Claim 9 wherein the associated text includes a uniform resource locator (URL) (Figure 5, the word detail is considered the URL because when it is clicked the web address corresponding to the link is opened and seen in Figure 6).

15. As for **Claim 15**, Noda et al explicitly teach:

The system to provide location awareness services as recited in Claim 9, wherein at least one of the computers includes a plurality of computer files having images of fields of interest (flower database Figure 1).

However, Noda et al. do not explicitly teach that the database has information of locations of interest located within a predetermined radius about a geographical location.

In the same field of endeavor, Aarnio teaches a method and apparatus for providing precise location information through a communications network (Figures 1 and 3). Aarnio further teaches a server service 24 that provides information to the user about the surroundings in the geographical region (column 4, lines 33-38).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the information about the geographical surroundings found in Aarnio into the images found in the database of Noda et al. in order to provide a convenience for the user such as in a case described in column 4, lines 38-43.

16. As for **Claim 16**, the combination of Noda et al. in view of Aarnio, as applied to claim 15, further teaches the system to provide location awareness services as recited in Claim 15 wherein the computer having a plurality of computer files having images of objects of interest located within a predetermined radius about a geographical location (column 4, lines 33-38, Aarnio) was previously trained to find common objects known to be of interest (page 26-28, 3-3.5 explains the finding of common images).

17. As for **Claim 17**, Noda et al. explicitly teach:

The system to provide location awareness services as recited in Claim 9 wherein at least one of the computers includes at least one computer file having an image of an object of known interest and an associated image of an object of less recognized interest within a predetermined radius about a geographical location of the known interest object (section 3.1 describes the extraction of the flower, which is the isolation of the image and at the same time the isolation of



Art Unit: 2622

the surroundings, therefore it is inherent that the surroundings can be used to identify an object of less interest using the same methods describe in the experimentation with real images 4.1) to aid a user in finding the object of less recognized interest.

18. As for **Claim 18**, Noda et al. explicitly teach:

The system to provide location awareness services as recited in Claim 9 wherein at least one of the computers includes at least one computer file having an image of an object of known interest and an associated image of an object of less recognized interest within the field of view of the known interest object (section 3.1 describes the extraction of the flower, which is the isolation of the image and at the same time the isolation of the surroundings, therefore it is inherent that the surroundings can be used to identify an object of less interest using the same methods describe in the experimentation with real images 4.1) to aid a user in finding the object of less recognized interest.

19. As for **Claim 19**, Noda et al explicitly teach the system to provide location awareness services as recited in Claim 9, which includes at least one computer (flower database Figure 1).

However, Noda et al. do not teach that the computer has the capability of searching other computers to find matching files.

In the same field of endeavor, Aarnio teaches a method and apparatus for providing precise location information through a communications network (Figures 1 and 3). Aarnio further teaches that the database to be accessed is a computer network 18, which may be the Internet, or World Wide Web (column 2, lines 57-60), which includes several computers that can then be checked.

Art Unit: 2622

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the computer network found in Aarnio as the database in Noda et al. in order to pinpoint an exact location of the image by using the vast sources of the internet (column 1, lines 35-39).

20. As for **Claim 20**, the combination of Noda et al. in view of Aarnio, as applied to claim 19, further teaches that the text that is found describing a matching image is communicated to the handheld device (page 25, 2.1, end of second paragraph).

21. As for **Claim 21**, the combination of Noda et al. in view of Aarnio, as applied to claim 19, further teaches that the text is returned to the computer that began the search (Figure 4, in Aarnio, shows that the information that is found is returned to the mobile system, which is the computer that began the search).

22. As for **Claim 22**, the combination of Noda et al. in view of Aarnio, as applied to claim 21 above further teaches the system to provide location awareness services as recited in Claim 21 wherein the computer that initiated the search is capable of comparing the original image with images returned in the computer file having text matching the associated text describing the object in the image (see figures 5 and 6, the PDA provides the user with the comparison of images that resulted from the original computer, database, to the image).

23. As for **Claim 24**, the combination of Noda et al. in view of Aarnio, as applied to claim 19, further teaches the system to provide location awareness services as recited in Claim 19 wherein at least one of the computers includes at least one computer file having an image of an object of known interest and an associated image of an object of less recognized interest within the field of view of the known interest object (section 3.1 describes the extraction of the flower,

Art Unit: 2622

which is the isolation of the image and at the same time the isolation of the surroundings, therefore it is inherent that the surroundings can be used to identify an object of less interest using the same methods describe in the experimentation with real images 4.1) to aid a user in finding the object of less recognized interest all located within a predetermined radius about a geographical location.

24. As for **Claim 27**, Noda et al. explicitly teach: The method for identifying a location as recited in Claim 26 wherein the comparing step includes comparing at least one of energy spectrum data, color histogram data, primitive filter data, and local invariant data (pages 26-38, 3-3.4 mentions color characteristic extraction).

25. As for **Claim 28**, Noda et al. explicitly teach: The method for identifying a location as recited in claim 26 wherein the comparing step comprises at least one of the techniques including a least square matching technique, a normalizing the image technique, an eigen value technique, a matching histogram of image feature technique and an image matching engine with transformation technique (pages 27-28, 3.4).

26. **Claim 23** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noda et al. in view of Aarnio (US 6,522,889) and further in view of Reed (US/2005/0113113).

27. As for **Claim 23**, the combination of Noda et al. in view of Aarnio teaches the system to provide location awareness services as recited in claim 15.

However, the combination does not explicitly teach that the system includes a GPS system receiver to identify the geographical location of the mobile communication device and help eliminate non-useful images.

Art Unit: 2622

In the same field of endeavor, Reed teaches an enhance wireless phone (Figure 2). Reed further teaches that this wireless phone has a GPS system 26, which is described in paragraph [0114]. The GPS system locates the user and inherently would provide coordinates that could narrow down the image matching process.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the GPS capabilities of the mobile phone found in Reed into the system found in the combination of Noda et al. in view of Aarnio in order to pinpoint exact locations and provide the user with the ease of mind of always knowing their location.

### ***Conclusion***

28. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2622

29. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Justin P Misleh whose telephone number is 571.272.7313. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, David Ometz can be reached on 571.272.7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571.273.8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**/Justin P. Misleh/  
Primary Examiner  
Group Art Unit 2622  
June 16, 2009**